Evaluation and Management of Elbow Tendinopathy
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Context: Elbow tendinopathy is a common cause of pain and disability among patients presenting to orthopaedic surgeons, primary care physicians, physical therapists, and athletic trainers. Prompt and accurate diagnosis of these conditions facilitates a directed treatment regimen. A thorough understanding of the natural history of these injuries and treatment outcomes will enable the appropriate management of patients and their expectations.

Evidence Acquisitions: The PubMed database was searched in December 2011 for English-language articles pertaining to elbow tendinopathy.

Results: Epidemiologic data as well as multiple subjective and objective outcome measures were investigated to elucidate the incidence of medial epicondylitis, lateral epicondylitis, distal biceps and triceps ruptures, and the efficacy of various treatments.

Conclusions: Medial and lateral epicondylitis are overuse injuries that respond well to nonoperative management. Their etiology is degenerative and related to repetitive overuse and underlying tendinopathy. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and localized corticosteroid injections yield moderate symptomatic relief in short term but do not demonstrate benefit on long-term follow-up. Platelet-rich plasma injections may be advantageous in cases of chronic lateral epicondylitis. If 6 to 12 months of nonoperative treatment fails, then surgical intervention can be undertaken. Distal biceps and triceps tendon ruptures, in contrast, have an acute traumatic etiology that may be superimposed on underlying tendinopathy. Prompt diagnosis and treatment improve outcomes. While partial ruptures confirmed with magnetic resonance imaging can be treated nonoperatively with immobilization, complete ruptures should be addressed with primary repair within 3 to 4 weeks of injury.
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LATERAL EPICONDYLITIS

Epidemiology

Lateral epicondylitis, also known as tennis elbow, is significantly more common than medial epicondylitis and has an annual prevalence of 1% to 2% in the general public. As its eponym implies, lateral epicondylitis has a high association with tennis, particularly one-handed backhand strokes. Nearly 40% to 50% of recreational tennis players will suffer this condition during their lifetime.

This condition, however, is not unique to tennis players. In fact, any sport or occupation that demands repetitive wrist extension can result in this injury. Several authors have reported occupations with high incidence rates, including politicians, municipal utility employees, and nursery school cooks. Kurppa et al. evaluated the incidence of epicondylitis in workers at a meat-processing factory and reported a rate of 1% for those in nonlabor positions, while female sausage makers, meat packers, and male meat cutters had rates of 11.3%, 7.0%, and 6.4%, respectively.

In addition to repetitive activities, obesity and smoking have been implicated as independent risk factors. Current smokers have an adjusted odds ratio of 3.4. Patients between the ages of 35 and 54 years are most commonly affected, and there is an equal gender distribution.

Pathophysiology

The extensor carpi radialis brevis (ECRB) is the most commonly affected tendon, although other tendons in the extensor bundle, such as the extensor digitorum communis, may be involved. Injury results from the repetitive microtrauma generated through overuse contraction of the wrist extensors.

The terms lateral epicondylitis and tendinitis are misleading because they suggest an inflammatory process. Rather, the pathophysiology of this process is degenerative in nature. The term angioblastic tendinosis has been used to describe the offending process. Injury occurs as a result of microtrauma. The body responds in an effort to repair the damaged tissue by upregulation of local angiogenesis in conjunction with fibroblast proliferation. Kraushaar and Nirschl evaluated surgical specimens collected from 9 patients who failed conservative management for lateral epicondylitis, using histology and electron microscopy, and they compared these with cadaveric specimens. They found a paucity of evidence to suggest inflammatory response—general absence of polymorphonuclear leukocytes, lymphocytes, and macrophages. They noted areas of poorly organized collagen fibers and matrix with microvasculature suggestive of a regenerative process. It should be noted that it is rare to obtain biopsy specimens in the early stages of epicondylitis; thus, it remains unclear if an initial inflammatory response to localized trauma occurs.

Four stages of lateral epicondylitis have been described, beginning with early inflammatory reaction, followed by angiofibroblastic degeneration, structural failure, and ultimately, fibrosis or calcification. Persistent microtrauma resulting in failed attempts at healing produces tendinosis. Surgical repair focuses on debridement of tendinosis and direct repair of the ECRB tendon.

Clinical Evaluation

A thorough but focused history and physical examination are critical to timely and accurate diagnosis. Historical factors should be solicited, such as occupation, hand dominance, recreational activities, duration of symptoms, prior episodes of epicondylitis or treatment modalities, and tobacco use. Patients frequently describe pain and/or a burning sensation about the lateral humeral epicondyle that is exacerbated by activities that require resisted wrist extension, such as pouring a gallon of milk. Grip weakness is another complaint, with patients reporting pain and difficulty while shaking hands.

Tenderness to palpation at the lateral epicondyle and along the common extensor tendon is a hallmark of lateral epicondylitis. Swelling and ecchymosis are not typically present, and grip strength is usually reduced. Dorf compared grip strength with the elbow in varying positions of flexion in a cohort of 81 patients diagnosed with lateral epicondylitis. With the elbow in extension, the affected side had 50% grip strength when compared to the unaffected side. The chair test has been described as a useful provocative test. The patient is asked to lift a chair with the shoulder adducted, elbows extended, and forearms pronated. Other tests, such as lifting a coffee cup or a gallon of milk, can also be provocative. Any test that generates a patient’s symptoms through resisted wrist extension can be an effective diagnostic tool. Reproduction of symptoms indicates a positive test result. Resisted extension of the middle finger can often re-create symptoms.

If the diagnosis of lateral epicondylitis is clear on physical examination and history, then diagnostic imaging is not indicated. If uncertainty exists, imaging and diagnostic tests can be useful for exploring the differential diagnosis, including radiocapitellar chondromalacia, posterolateral elbow instability, plica, loose bodies, malignancy, cervical radiculopathy (C6 or C7), or compression of the posterior interosseous nerve at the arcade of Frosche (supinator syndrome). Posterior interosseous nerve compression can be accurately evaluated with a targeted diagnostic injection of local anesthetic.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is helpful in evaluating the soft tissues of the elbow in patients who have failed appropriate conservative treatment and aids in both diagnosis and surgical planning. Review of MRI findings from 24 patients with epicondylitis reported increased intratendon signal on both T1 and T2 pulse sequences with occasional bone marrow edema pattern in the epicondyle. Other studies have shown thickening, edema, and tears of the common extensor tendon.

MRI of patients with lateral epicondylitis and a control population demonstrated intratendinous signal changes in 92% of patients and 16% of controls. MRI is not recommended as a screening tool, but it can play a significant role in surgical planning. In chronic refractory
lateral epicondylitis, a direct correlation of MRI results and intraoperative and histologic findings has been reported.71

**Treatment**

Nonoperative management of lateral epicondylitis will result in successful resolution of symptoms in 90% of patients.18,42 Conservative care may include activity modification, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications, physiotherapy, corticosteroid injections, shockwave therapy, and benign neglect. Tendinitis may occur in the early stage of epicondylitis and may be responsive to anti-inflammatory measures. Later stages represent tendinosis and are less responsive to the same measures. Treatment effect on the condition’s natural history, however, remains inconclusive. In chronic lateral epicondylitis, platelet-rich plasma (PRP) has been shown to effectively reduce pain and symptoms35,43,60,66,85 in several short-term studies. Failure to improve with conservative treatment and continued activity limitations may lead to consideration for surgical treatment.

Activity modification is a necessary component of any treatment protocol, especially given the overuse etiology of this condition. Patients should be counseled to refrain from inciting activities and motions. Rest, ice, compression, and elevation (or RICE) of the affected arm can be helpful during this initial phase. Modifications can be made in technique, such as the use of a 2-handed backhand to reduce the stress encountered by the extensors.34

Bracing (forearm straps and cock-up wrist splints) can redirect the extensor force vector and may provide symptom relief,6 by reduction in extensor muscle activity.66 Cock-up wrist splints reduce extensor contraction by limiting wrist flexion and extension allowing the extensors to rest. A prospective randomized study33 comparing the effects of counterforce bracing and cock-up wrist splints at 6 weeks reported superior subjective pain improvement in the splinted group.

While the underlying pathology of lateral epicondylitis at the end stage is not inflammatory, the use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) may be a useful adjunct to activity modification.7 There is a lack of data to support their oral use.42,54 In contrast, Brunham et al7 noted short-term relief associated with a topical NSAID in their randomized double-blind crossover study.

Physical therapy is another important component to conservative treatment of lateral epicondylitis.8 Traditionally, physiotherapy was aimed at stretching and strengthening the extensors of the forearm.62 More current therapeutic modalities
include eccentric muscle training. It should be noted, however, that while eccentric training “did not cause subjects to worsen,” there remains limited evidence to support this modality in the elbow over stretching alone.

Corticosteroid injections result in improved short-term patient outcomes, with equivocal results at 12 months. Hay and colleagues randomized patients with lateral epicondylitis to 3 treatment arms (placebo, oral NSAID, and corticosteroid injections). They found that the best short-term (4-week) symptomatic improvements occurred in patients who received the corticosteroid injection, while some improvement occurred in those who received the oral NSAID when compared with placebo. At 1-year follow-up, patient outcomes were similar among 3 groups.

The efficacy of extracorporeal shock wave (ECSW) therapy remains a source of debate. Three randomized double-blinded studies have reported contrasting results. Pettit and McCall reported statistically significant pain improvement at 12 weeks in those treated with ECSW when compared with placebo and concluded that ECSW was a safe and effective treatment for tennis elbow. Two additional studies describe ECSW and placebo as similarly ineffective in treating lateral epicondylitis.

More recently, injection of PRP has been investigated as a possible treatment. Investigators conducted a trial on patients with chronic lateral epicondylitis, in which 100 patients were randomized to either PRP or corticosteroid injection. Improved pain relief and rates of success in the PRP group were demonstrated at 1 year and 2 years posttreatment. Other studies with lower levels of evidence and short term follow-up have reported similar positive effects of PRP.

Surgical intervention is reserved for those patients who remain symptomatic for greater than 6 months, despite myriad conservative measures. Clarke et al used ultrasound to evaluate the size of the intrasubstance tear and correlated it with patient outcome. Patients with large tears were more likely to have a negative response to conservative treatment as measured by scores on the Patient-Rated Tennis Elbow Evaluation at 6 months postdiagnosis, suggesting a lower threshold for surgery in those with large tears. Despite this, the gold standard remains 6 to 12 months of conservative care prior to surgical intervention.

In cases where nonoperative measures fail, surgical options include percutaneous, open, and arthroscopic techniques. Percutaneous treatment involves release of the extensor tendon origin at the lateral epicondyle. This technique has been advocated as safe, reliable, and cost-effective. Othman reported similar outcomes for arthroscopic and percutaneous techniques at 12 months follow-up.

Arthroscopic techniques to address recalcitrant lateral epicondylitis involve release of the common extensor tendon. Advocates of this approach cite quick recovery time, including return to work and the ability to evaluate and treat concomitant intra-articular pathology. Baker and Baker reported an 87% patient satisfaction rate in 42 patients treated arthroscopically at long-term follow-up (average, 10.1 years).

Despite the generally positive results, the learning curve, as well as the risk of inadvertent neurovascular injury or release of the lateral ulnar collateral ligament, is not insignificant. In our experience, open techniques may offer the most reliable, operator-independent, and safe treatment option for refractory lateral epicondylitis. Open treatment involves a small lateral incision with dissection and identification of focus of tendinopathy. The diseased tissue is removed, and decortication of the insertion site on the lateral epicondyle is performed. A suture anchor repair may be performed of ECRB tendon to bone. Several authors have reported good to excellent results with this approach. Coleman et al described a single surgeon’s 15-year experience treating refractory cases of lateral epicondylitis. In 158 consecutive patients treated with open technique, 94.6% of patients had good to excellent results at an average of 9.8 years follow-up. Dunn et al reported their long-term results in 139 cases of tennis elbow with 84% rated as good or excellent, 92% with normal elbow range of motion, and an overall patient satisfaction of 8.9 of 10. Thornton et al explored the utility of suture anchor repair of the ECRB tendon to the lateral epicondyle following open debridement of tendinosis. They found normal grip and pinch strength, full range of motion, and near resolution of preoperative pain.

Postoperative rehabilitation follows a sequential pattern of protection of the repair, stretching, then strengthening. Patients are initially placed in a sling for comfort. A cock-up wrist splint is used to protect the repair and to permit more active use of the elbow. Range of motion of the elbow is instituted postoperative day 1 with a goal of full elbow extension at 10 to 14 days postoperatively. The first 6 weeks following surgery are focused on recovery of range of motion of the wrist and elbow, which is performed as a home-based program. Formal physical therapy is instituted at 6 weeks to restore terminal range of motion and restore full strength.

**MEDIAL EPICONDYLITIS**

**Epidemiology**

Medial epicondylitis is commonly referred to as “golfer’s elbow” but can be found in athletes and in workers in occupations that demand repetitive wrist flexion activities. Medial epicondylitis is less common than lateral epicondylitis, with a reported prevalence less than 1% in the general population but as high as 6% in some professions. The demographics of at-risk patients are very similar to those at risk of lateral epicondylitis and include ages 30 to 50 years, laborers, those performing repetitive tasks demanding wrist flexion, smokers, and patients with obesity.

In throwing athletes, particularly baseball players, the elbow’s medial structures endure the most stress and account for up to 97% of all elbow injuries. Medial epicondylitis and apophysitis (in the skeletally immature thrower) may result from repetitive stress to the flexor pronator mass during the valgus-loading acceleration phase of throwing.
Pathophysiology

The pathogenesis of medial epicondylitis parallels that of lateral epicondylitis, beginning with repetitive microtrauma to the wrist flexors originating at their insertion on the medial epicondyle. The muscles most commonly involved include the pronator teres and flexor carpi radialis but can include any of the other flexors. Histologic studies demonstrate patterns of angiofibroblastic degeneration similar to those seen in lateral epicondylitis.

Clinical Evaluation

Patients with medial epicondylitis have many of the same risk factors as those with lateral epicondylitis. Van Rijn and colleagues performed a systematic review of the literature and reported that handling objects greater than 5 kg for 2 hours per day, objects greater than 10 kg more than 10 times per day, and repetitive movements and vibrating tools for greater than 2 hours per day were associated with medial epicondylitis.

A history of medial elbow pain in the setting of repetitive wrist flexion and forearm pronation activities is suspicious for the diagnosis, though a subset of patients will describe a more diffuse nature of their symptoms. This may, in part, be related to a relatively high rate of concomitant ulnar neuritis.

Examination should focus on tenderness over the origin of the flexor pronator mass. Resisted pronation and/or wrist flexion will reproduce symptoms in most affected patients. Grip strength is decreased in patients with medial epicondylitis compared with control subjects, although the magnitude of impairment is less than that seen in patients with lateral epicondylitis. The examiner should assess the competency of the medial collateral ligament with a valgus stress test, which should be painless in the absence of medial collateral ligament insufficiency. A careful neurologic examination should be performed to look for evidence of ulnar neuritis, including Tinel sign (radiating pain with percussion at the cubital tunnel), cubital tunnel compression testing, 2-point discrimination testing of the fifth digit, and assessment of hypothenar atrophy in advanced cases.

Imaging studies, such as plain radiographs, may show calcifications or traction osteophytes at the flexor-pronator origin. Kijowski et al assessed MRI findings from 13 patients with clinically diagnosed medial epicondylitis and reported thickening and increased signal intensity within the common flexor tendon and surrounding soft tissue edema seen in both T1- and T2-weighted images compared with age-matched controls. Clinical evaluation remains adequate for diagnosis in most cases. MRI may be more useful when used to rule out other pathologies, such as osteochondritis dissecans lesions, medial collateral ligament insufficiency, or loose bodies.

Treatment

Treatment for medial epicondylitis parallels those described for lateral epicondylitis. Nonoperative management is the mainstay of treatment. Conservative measures include rest, activity modification, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications, physiotherapy, corticosteroid injections. Corticosteroid injections improved symptoms at 6 weeks but showed no difference when compared with controls at 3 and 12 months. When a patient is injected for medial epicondylitis, particular caution must be taken to protect the ulnar nerve because of its proximity. PRP injections may hold some benefit for patients with chronic golfer’s elbow, but well-designed studies are lacking.

Surgery is reserved for those who fail 6 to 12 months of conservative management. Unlike lateral epicondylitis, an arthroscopic technique is not used. The open procedure involves debridement of tendinosis found deep to and in the interval between the pronator teres and flexor carpi radialis. The bony surface of the medial epicondyle is decorticated to enhance local vascularity. As noted previously, there is a high rate of concomitant ulnar neuritis. If present, the cubital tunnel should be decompressed and the ulnar nerve transposed.

Cases in which ulnar neuritis accompanies medial epicondylitis have a less favorable outcome when treated surgically than those with isolated medial epicondylitis. Kurvers et al noted ulnar neuritis in 24 of 38 elbows. Subjective outcome scores were lower if ulnar neuritis was present preoperatively (P < 0.05). In their series, 3 of 24 patients with preexisting ulnar neuritis were symptom free at final follow-up compared with 11 of 16 with isolated medial epicondylitis. This finding has been corroborated in other published studies.

Patients with refractory symptoms treated surgically will likely see improvement in pain but may not return to their sporting activities. Ollivierre et al reported on 50 cases of surgical treatment for medial epicondylitis. At an average of 37 months of follow-up, objective strength testing showed improvement with relief of preoperative pain. Of note, however, 20% of patients did not return to their previous recreational sporting activities.

DISTAL BICEPS RUPTURE

Epidemiology

True distal biceps tendinitis is rare. More common are partial ruptures. Bourne and Morrey originally described this entity in their case series of 3 patients with varying duration (1 day to 1 year) of symptoms. All the patients were noted to have partial tendon rupture with surrounding granulation, and scar tissue was noted at the time of surgery.

Complete rupture of the distal biceps tendon from its insertion at the radial tuberosity is most common. Safran and Graham reported an overall incidence of 1.2 distal biceps ruptures per 100,000 patients per year. These injuries typically occur in the dominant arm of men between the ages of 40 and 50 years.

Risk factors include smoking, anabolic steroid use, and previous distal biceps rupture. Smokers have a 7.5-times greater risk than nonsmokers. While bilateral injuries make up a
rare subset of patients, Green and colleagues found of an 8% cumulative incidence of bilateral ruptures among patients in their series of 321 consecutive patients. When compared with the 0.0012% incidence in the general population, it was deduced that prior distal biceps tendon rupture is an independent risk factor for subsequent contralateral injury. Though far less common, some authors have reported partial and complete ruptures in women. Jockel et al. described 13 female patients with partial and complete distal biceps tendons and found that the majority of such injuries occur at a more advanced age (67 years), are partial tears, and occur secondary to atraumatic mechanisms.

Pathophysiology

An understanding of the local neurovascular structures and the function of the biceps tendon are critical. The biceps muscle acts to flex the elbow and supinate the forearm. It has 2 origins (heads): the long head, which inserts on the supraglenoid tubercle, and the short head, which meshes with the coracobrachialis and the pectoralis minor tendon to form the conjoint tendon inserting on the coracoid process. The biceps muscle has a common tendinous insertion at the radial tuberosity. The lacertus fibrosus (bicipital aponeurosis) emanates from the biceps muscle belly at the level of the musculotendinous junction and crosses distally and medially to insert along the subcutaneous border of the ulna. Several neurovascular structures, including the lateral antebrachial cutaneous nerve, median nerve, brachial artery and vein, and radial recurrent artery, pass in close proximity to the biceps insertion. The spatial arrangement of these structures is critical when planning and carrying out surgical repair. Rupture of the distal biceps tendon is classically an acute injury occurring during placement of a large eccentric load. This load is applied with the elbow in 90° of flexion, with resulting avulsion of the biceps tendon from the radial tuberosity. Though some authors have reported intratendinous and musculotendinous sites of injury, this represents the exception rather than the rule. Competency of the lacertus fibrosus is variable following avulsion injury. If it remains intact, it may act as a checkrein to proximal migration of the tendon and muscle belly.

Clinical Evaluation

History should be obtained for the aforementioned risk factors—prior distal biceps tendon rupture, hand dominance, tobacco and anabolic steroid use. The mechanism of injury must be clearly elucidated. Patients often describe a sharp, sudden, and painful “pop.” The sharp pain typically dissipates within hours to days and is followed by a dull ache. Patients may describe outright weakness of elbow flexion and supination or rather describe newfound difficulties with activities that require these actions, such as turning a doorknob or lifting objects. Physical examination includes inspection and palpation. Ecchymosis is present acutely. Patients with complete distal biceps tendon ruptures usually have a visible deformity resulting from biceps muscle retraction proximally. Palpation of the antecubital fossa often reveals a void where the biceps tendon is inserted. Range of motion and strength testing is performed, particularly with respect to flexion and supination. Plain radiographs are usually unrevealing but can be useful if the mechanism or examination suggests concomitant injuries. MRI may also be helpful if there is question of complete versus incomplete injury. Festa and colleagues reviewed preoperative MRI studies on 22 cases of partial distal biceps tendon ruptures and 24 cases of complete tendon ruptures. They compared blinded radiologist interpretations with intraoperative findings. Complete injuries had a sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 82.8%. Partial injuries had a sensitivity 59.1% and specificity of 100%.

Treatment

In low-functioning or medically complicated patients, nonoperative measures may be pursued; however, ultimate strength and function will be compromised. Freeman et al. reported overall satisfactory outcomes in 18 patients who underwent nonoperative treatment for distal biceps ruptures at 38 months of follow-up. A decrease in elbow flexion (88%) and supination (74%) strength was found when compared to the contralateral side. The decrease in supination strength was significant when compared with historical controls, but the difference in elbow flexion strength was not.
Surgical repair of distal biceps ruptures results in improved strength and function. Frazier et al. reported a case series of 17 patients with unilateral partial biceps tendon ruptures. The average isometric and dynamic elbow flexion was comparable to the contralateral side. There was minimal decrease of isometric (6%) and dynamic (10%) supination with 1 partial rerupture at 4 years. Patients with acute distal biceps tendon ruptures should undergo surgical repair within 1 month of injury. Delay increases the technical difficulty of the repair secondary to scar formation and tendon retraction. Partial biceps tendon ruptures can be initially treated with activity modification and physical therapy for 3 to 6 months. If conservative measures fail, surgical repair should be considered.

Surgical options include both single-incision and 2-incision techniques. Several methods of fixation have been used to anchor the distal biceps tendon to the radial tuberosity, including transosseous bone tunnels, suture anchors, interference screws, and the EndoButton. Chavan et al. compared surgical techniques as well as methods of fixation in their systematic review of the literature. They reported that biomechanical data suggest superiority of EndoButton fixation and better results with use of a single-incision technique. The 2-incision technique was associated with significant loss of forearm motion and high rates of patient dissatisfaction (31%).

Surgery is not without risk. Bisson and coinvestigators described a 27% complication rate among the 45 patients who underwent surgical distal biceps repair. The 2 most common complications included nerve dysfunction (15%) and radioulnar synostosis (7%). Other reported complications were heterotopic ossification, rerupture, and reflex sympathetic dystrophy.

At the conclusion of surgery, patients are placed in a hinged elbow brace, which is locked at 90° of elbow flexion. Passive elbow flexion is permitted on postoperative day 1 from 90 to increased flexion. No passive or active extension is permitted. Forearm passive pronation and supination are instituted on postoperative day 1. At 10 days postoperatively, an extension stop is placed on the brace based on tension-free range of motion assessed following the surgical repair. Physical therapy is instituted to include active elbow extension and passive flexion with a goal of full range of motion by 6 weeks postoperatively. Passive pronation and supination of the forearm are encouraged to minimize scar formation and restore forearm rotation. At 6 weeks, the patient may begin graduated strengthening exercises with a trained physical therapist.

**DISTAL TRICEPS RUPTURE**

**Epidemiology**

Triceps tendinopathy and rupture constitute the least common type of elbow tendinopathy. Triceps tendinitis and partial and complete ruptures exist on a continuum with a male predominance. Triceps ruptures have been described in patients of all ages and can be associated with total elbow arthroplasty. These injuries also occur in younger active patients, with case reports describing the injury in professional weight lifters, softball players, skiers, soccer players, and professional football players.

**Pathophysiology**

Triceps tendinopathy most commonly occurs at the tendon-osseous junction at the olecranon but can occur within the tendon substance or at the musculotendinous junction. Systemic risk factors that weaken tendons have been reported, such as metabolic conditions and endocrine disorders such as diabetes mellitus and hypoparathyroidism. Local factors that may weaken or traumatize the triceps include corticosteroid injection, anabolic steroids, overtraining, and olecranon bursitis, have been implicated in tendon rupture. There are no case reports of triceps rupture in the setting of fluoroquinolone use.

The most common site of triceps injury is avulsion from the olecranon. The mechanism is the result of an acute injury, such as a fall onto an outstretched hand with resulting violent eccentric load absorbed by the triceps.

**Clinical Evaluation**

Triceps tendinopathy is a chronic condition stemming from overuse and repetitive heavy lifting. Patients routinely describe pain and/weakness with activities of elbow extension. Tenderness to palpation occurs at the triceps insertion on the olecranon. In the setting of chronic repetitive injury, plain radiographs may reveal a traction osteophyte on the olecranon. As mentioned, triceps avulsions from the olecranon or intratendinous ruptures are the result of a traumatic injury. Physical examination may reveal swelling, tenderness, ecchymosis, and a palpable defect just proximal to the olecranon. Strength testing will show weakness of elbow extension, but it is important to note that the ability of a...
patient to extend his or her elbow does not exclude triceps rupture, because elbow extension can be preserved in cases of partial tears or though the lateral expansion of the triceps fascia. Vegas described a modification of the Thompson test (used to detect Achilles tendon ruptures) in which squeezing the triceps muscle belly does not produce expected elbow extension.99

Imaging is an essential component of evaluation. Plain radiographs must be obtained. Osseous flecks, referred to as the “flake sign,” are pathognomonic for triceps avulsion injuries. Evidence of other or concomitant osseous injury or malalignment may also be evident on plain radiographs. MRI16,31 or ultrasound23,86 can be helpful in differentiating between partial and complete injury.

Treatment

Patients with triceps tendinosis are initially managed nonoperatively with activity modification, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications, and physical therapy for stretching and range of motion. Symptomatic resolution may take months. Operative management, consisting of olecranon osteophyte excision and triceps repair,39 is reserved for refractory cases that fail conservative management.28

Incomplete tears (< 50%) can be managed conservatively with splint immobilization for 4 weeks in 30° of flexion.95 Partial tears, even in high-performance athletes, can be treated with success. Mair et al97 reported successful healing of 6 of 10 partial triceps tears in professional football players with no lingering effects, such as pain or dysfunction after healing.

In cases of acute triceps avulsion, timely surgical repair is advocated.67 Cases of incomplete tears where conservative measures have failed should also be treated operatively. Surgical technique involves primary repair of the avulsed triceps tendon using a Krakow suture pattern to the olecranon via bone tunnels.87 In general, surgical repair yields good results and return to activities.2,40,87

SUMMARY

Elbow tendinopathy is a common cause of pain and disability among patients presenting to orthopaedic and primary care physicians. Prompt and accurate diagnosis of these conditions facilitates directed therapeutic regimens. A thorough understanding of the natural history of these injuries, in addition to treatment-related outcomes, should enable the physician to appropriately manage expectations.

Medial and lateral epicondylitis are typically overuse injuries and respond well to conservative management. Their etiology is noninflammatory, with histology characterized by a degenerative process. NSAID therapy and localized corticosteroid injections have demonstrated moderate symptomatic relief in short-term but not long-term follow-up. PRP injections are advantageous in cases of chronic lateral epicondylitis. In general, 6 to 12 months of nonoperative treatment should be trialed prior to surgical intervention. Distal biceps and triceps tendon ruptures occur most commonly secondary to an acute traumatic event but may be superimposed on underlying tendinopathy. Prompt diagnosis and treatment improve outcomes. Partial ruptures are confirmed with MRI and may initially be treated nonoperatively with immobilization. Complete ruptures are usually addressed with primary repair and have shown best results when performed within 3 to 4 weeks of injury.
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